(MON) 10. 27' 03 17:37/ST. 17:36/NO. 4260759042 J

FAX RECEIVED

OCT 2 7 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 / 0 · 24

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE GROUP 2837

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q63327

Moshe WEINER, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/892,767

Group Art Unit: 2837

Confirmation No.: 3418

Examiner: Marlon T. FLETCHER

Filed: June 28, 2001

For:

TELE-KARAYOKE

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

MAIL STOP AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Alexandria, VA 223

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 6, 2003, please consider the remarks as submitted herewith on the accompanying pages.

REMARKS

Claims 1-42 are all the claims pending in the application.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-13, 15, 18, 20-25, 27, 30, 31 and 33-39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Catona (U.S. Patent No. 6,288,319). To be an "anticipation" rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102, the reference must teach every element and limitation of the Applicant's claims. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 are proper only when the claimed subject matter is

AUG B 2003